

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 268513

22-12

ORIGIN OES-03

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /004 R

666

DRAFTED BY OES:HDBENGELSDORF

APPROVED BY OES:HDBENGELSDORF

----- 115712

P 122331Z NOV 75

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY BONN PRIORITY

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 268513

FOLLOWING REPEAT IAEA VIENNA 8183 ACTION SECSTATE INFO

USERDA GERMANTOWN DATED 25 SEP 75

QUOTE

C O N F I D E N T I A L IAEA VIENNA 8183

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: IAEA, TECH, PARM

SUBJECT: COMMENTS ON DRAFT FRG/BRAZIL/IAEA TRILATERAL SAFE-  
GUARDS AGREEMENT

REF: IAEA VIENNA 8147

1. FRG REPS HANDED DRAFT OF SUBJECT AGREEMENT TO U.S.  
DEL MEMBERS ON SEPTEMBER 24, SIGNIFICANT PROVISIONS OF  
WHICH TRANSMITTED REFTEL, FOR ANY COMMENTS WE MIGHT HAVE,  
INDICATING THAT IT "ABOUT 85-90 PERCENT" THE SAME AS  
FRENCH/KOREA/IAEA TRILATERAL SAFEGUARDS AGREEMENT (GOV  
1754). THEY STATED THEY PLANNED GIVE DRAFT TO BRAZILIANS  
FRIDAY AFTERNOON (SEPTEMBER 26), ESTABLISH SCHEDULE FOR  
NEGOTIATIONS DURING MEETING OF FRG/BRAZIL MIXED  
COMMISSION IN EARLY OCTOBER, AND HOPED TO HAVE AGREEMENT  
CONSIDERED BY IAEA BOARD OF GOVERNORS (BG) IN FEBRUARY  
OR POSSIBLY JUNE 1976.

2. U.S. REPS POINTED OUT THIS DID NOT ALLOW MUCH  
TIME TO STUDY DRAFT IN DEPTH AND PROVIDE COMMENTS, AND  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 268513

ASKED FOR EXTENSION, AT LEAST UNTIL MONDAY. FRG REPS

RESPONDED THEY WERE OBLIGATED TO PROVIDE DRAFT TO BRAZILIANS BEFORE THEY DEPARTED VIENNA AT END OF THIS WEEK, AND OUR COMMENTS SHOULD THEREFORE BE IN FRG HANDS BY FRIDAY AFTERNOON.

3. ACTION REQUESTED: WASHINGTON'S COMMENTS BE RECEIVED BY MISSION NO LATER THAN NOON FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 26.

4. OUR PRELIMINARY REACTIONS TO DRAFT AS FOLLOWS:  
A. TWENTY YEAR PERIOD DURING WHICH ANY REPLICATED PLANT WOULD BE SUBJECT TO IAEA SAFEGUARDS IS SIGNIFICANT STEP IN RIGHT DIRECTION. THIS IS SIMILAR TO ARRANGEMENT ADOPTED BY FRENCH AND KOREANS IN SEPARATE UNDERSTANDING RELATED TO IMPLEMENTATION OF FRENCH/KOREA/IAEA TRILATERAL SAFEGUARDS AGREEMENT. FRG/BRAZIL DRAFT PROVIDES THAT 20 YEAR PERIOD STARTS AFTER NOTIFICATION TO AGENCY OF INTENT TO TRANSFER FACILITIES, EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS OR TECHNOLOGICAL INFORMATION, RATHER THAN WHEN SUCH ITEMS ACTUALLY TRANSFERRED. THIS COULD DIMINISH VALUE OF 20 YEAR PROVISION IF ACTUAL TRANSFERS WERE SIGNIFICANTLY DELAYED AFTER NOTIFICATION TO AGENCY. WHEN THIS POINTED OUT TO FRG REPS THEY REPLIED THAT, AS PRACTICAL MATTER, LONG DELAY BETWEEN NOTIFICATION AND ACTUAL TRANSFERS UNLIKELY TO OCCUR.

B. DEFINITION OF "SAME OR SIMILAR PHYSICAL OR CHEMICAL PROCESSES SPECIFIED BY CONTRACTING GOVERNMENT FROM WHOSE TERRITORY THE RELEVANT TECHNOLOGICAL INFORMATION WAS TRANSFERRED" (SEE NUMBERED PARA 4 REFTEL) IS CRUCIAL TO EFFICACY OF SAFEGUARDS AGREEMENT FRG REPS AGREED, POINTING OUT FRG, AS REFLECTED IN DRAFT, WOULD HAVE CONTROL OVER SUCH DEFINITION IN IMPLEMENTATION OF AGREEMENT.

C. ALTHOUGH DRAFT AGREEMENT CONTAINS COMMITMENT THAT ITEMS SUBJECT TO AGREEMENT WILL NOT BE USED FOR MANUFACTURE OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS OR ANY OTHER NUCLEAR EXPLOSIVE DEVICES, IT DOES NOT CONTAIN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 268513

PROHIBITION OF "ANY OTHER MILITARY PURPOSE", AS PROVIDED FOR IN INFCIRC/66/REV.2, AS DOES FRENCH/KOREA/IAEA AGREEMENT. WHEN THIS POINTED OUT TO FRG REPS, THEY REPLIED THAT AS NPT PARTY THEY NOT OBLIGATED UNDER TREATY TO GO BEYOND REQUIRING PROHIBITION ON NUCLEAR WEAPONS OR OTHER NUCLEAR EXPLOSIVE IN IAEA SAFEGUARDS AGREEMENTS WITH RECIPIENT COUNTRIES, AND THAT THIS UNFORTUNATE DISCREPANCY

BETWEEN INFCIRS/153 AND 66/REV.2.

D. IN RESPONSE TO QUESTION, FRG REPS STATED THAT TRANSFER OF TECHNOLOGICAL INFORMATION THROUGH TRAINING OF BRAZILIANS IN FRG OR THE SERVICES OF FRG EXPERTS IN AREAS OF COOPERATION SET FORTH IN AGREEMENT WOULD NOT SPECIFICALLY BE COVERED BY TRILATERAL SAFEGUARDS AGREEMENT. ONLY INFORMATION TRANSFERRED IN ACTUAL FACILITIES, EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS OR DOCUMENTS WOULD BE SO COVERED. THEY DEFENDED THIS ON THE GROUNDS THAT THE LATTER TRANSFERS WOULD UNQUESTIONABLY EMCOMPASS THE FACILITIES IN THE AREAS OF TRAINING OR SERVICES PROVIDED AND WOULD THEREFORE BE SUBJECT TO SAFEGUARDS. THEY ALSO INDICATED THAT FRG DOES NOT HAVE LAWS ENABLING THEM TO CONTROL THIS TYPE OF TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER THROUGH INDIVIDUALS. (U.S. REGULATION 10 CFR 110 WAS MENTIONED TO THEM AS A MECHANISM USG EMPLOYS TO CONTROL SUCH TRANSFERS IN AREAS OF CHEMICAL REPROCESSING, URANIUM ENRICHMENT AND HEAVY WATER TECHNOLOGY.)

5. COMMENTS ON OTHER PROVISION OF DRAFT AGREEMENT AS FOLLOWS:

A. AGREEMENT UNCLEAR AS TO MECHANISM FOR TRIGGERING APPLICATION OF SAFEGUARDS TO FACILITIES, ETC., CONSTRUCTED BOTH DURING AND AFTER INITIAL 20 YEAR PERIOD BASED ON "RELEVANT TECHNOLOGICAL INFORMATION" TRANSFERRED. SECTIONS 4 AND 6(C) (NUMBERED PAGES 5 AND 8, RESPECTIVELY, OR REFTEL) APPEAR TO PROVIDE A BASIS FOR TRIGGERING SAFEGUARDS, BUT RESPONSIBILITY FOR REPORTING SUCH FACILITIES

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 268513

TO AGENCY, RESTS PRINCIPALLY WITH COUNTRY IN WHICH FACILITY EXISTS, RATHER THAN JOINT RESPONSIBILITY OF BOTH GOVERNMENTS. THIS IS POTENTIAL WEAKNESS, SINCE AGENCY WOULD PRESUMABLY HAVE TO TAKE INITIATIVE IN CHALLENGING GOVERNMENT IF IT SUSPECTED A FACILITY CONSTRUCTED, OR BEING CONSTRUCTED, WAS ELIGIBLE FOR SAFEGUARDS. IN CONTRAST, PARA 6(C) OF FRENCH/KOREA/ IAEA AGREEMENT PROVIDED EITHER ROK OR GOF "AFTER CONSULTATION WITH ROK", SHALL INFORM AGENCY WHAT FACILITIES HAVE BEEN REPLICATED WITH SUPPLIED TECHNOLOGY AND SHOULD THEREFORE BE SUBJECT TO SAFEGUARDS.

B. AGENCY'S UNDERTAKING IN SECTION 4 (NUMBERED PARA 5 REFTEL) IS NOT CLEAR AS TO WHICH "SAFEGUARDS" SHALL BE APPLIED, I.E., INFCIRC/153 OR 66/REV.2,

NOR DOES IT SPECIFY THE PURPOSE OF SUCH SAFEGUARDS.

C. ON BASIS OUR PRELIMINARY REVIEW OF PROPOSED  
AGREEMENT, WE FORESEE, INTER ALIA, SOME POTENTIALLY  
SERIOUS PROBLEMS FOR AGENCY TO ACCEPT CERTAIN PROVISIONS,  
IN VIEW STATEMENT IN PARA 5 OF INFCIRC/66/ REV.2 THAT  
AGENCY WILL NOT RPT NOT ASSUME RESPONSIBILITY FOR  
ADMINISTERING SAFEGUARDS UNLESS THE PRINCIPLES OF  
THE SAFEGUARDS AND THE PROCEDURES TO BE USED ARE  
ESSENTIALLY CONSISTENT WITH THOSE SET FORTH IN  
INFCIRC/62/ REV.2. FOR EXAMPLE, TRANSFERS TO THIRD  
COUNTRIES WHICH ARE NUCLEAR WEAPON STATES WOULD BE  
MADE UNDER CONDITIONS CONTRARY TO PROVISIONS SET FORTH  
IN PARA 28 OR INFCIRC/66/REV.2.TAPE  
UNQUOTE  
KISSINGER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** AGREEMENT DRAFT, NUCLEAR SAFEGUARDS, DIPLOMATIC DISCUSSIONS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 12 NOV 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** ElyME  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975STATE268513  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** HDBENGELSDORF  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D750394-0864  
**From:** STATE  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t19751152/aaaabvfe.tel  
**Line Count:** 185  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ORIGIN OES  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 4  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** 75 IAEA VIENNA 8147  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** ElyME  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 01 APR 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <01 APR 2003 by ShawDG>; APPROVED <15 OCT 2003 by ElyME>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
06 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** COMMENTS ON DRAFT FRG/BRAZIL/IAEA TRILATERAL SAFE- GUARDS AGREEMENT  
**TAGS:** TECH, PARM, GE, BR, US, IAEA  
**To:** BONN  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006